§ 63.746

- (iv) For new sources, pass the air stream through either a two-stage dry particulate filter system or a waterwash system before exhausting it to the atmosphere. If the primer or topcoat contains chromium or cadmium, control shall consist of either a three-stage filter system, HEPA filter system, or other equivalent control system as approved by the permitting agency.
- (v) If a dry particulate filter system is used, the following requirements shall be met:
- (A) Maintain the system in good working order;
- (B) Install a differential pressure gauge across the filter banks;
- (C) Continuously monitor the pressure drop across the filter; and
- (D) Take corrective action when the pressure drop exceeds or falls below the filter manufacturer's recommended limit(s).
- (vi) If a waterwash system is used, continuously monitor the water flow rate
- (3) If the pressure drop across the dry particulate filter system, as recorded pursuant to $\S63.752(d)(1)$, is outside the limit(s) specified by the filter manufacturer or in locally prepared operating procedures, shut down the operation immediately and take corrective action. If the water path in the waterwash system fails the visual continuity/flow characteristics check, or the water flow rate recorded pursuant to §63.752(d)(2) exceeds the limit(s) specified by the booth manufacturer or in locally prepared operating procedures, or the booth manufacturer's or locally prepared maintenance procedures for the filter or waterwash system have not been performed as scheduled, shut down the operation immediately and take corrective action. The operation shall not be resumed until the pressure drop or water flow rate is returned within the specified limit(s).
- (4) The requirements of paragraphs (g)(1) through (g)(3) of this section do not apply to the following:
- (i) Touch-up of scratched surfaces or damaged paint;
 - (ii) Hole daubing for fasteners;
 - (iii) Touch-up of trimmed edges;
- (iv) Coating prior to joining dissimilar metal components;

- (v) Stencil operations performed by brush or air brush;
 - (vi) Section joining;
- (vii) Touch-up of bushings and other similar parts;
 - (viii) Sealant detackifying; and
- (ix) Painting parts in an area identified in a title V permit, where the permitting authority has determined that it is not technically feasible to paint the parts in a booth.

§63.746 Standards: Depainting operations.

- (a) Applicability. Each owner or operator of a new or existing depainting operation subject to this subpart shall comply with the requirements in paragraphs (a)(1) through (a)(3) of this section, and with the requirements specified in paragraph (b) where there are no controls for organic HAP, or paragraph (c) where organic HAP are controlled using a control system. This subpart does not apply to an aerospace manufacturing facility that depaints 6 or less completed aerospace vehicles in a calendar year.
- (1) The provisions of this section apply to the depainting of the outer surface areas of completed aerospace vehicles, including the fuselage, wings, and vertical and horizontal stabilizers of the aircraft, and the outer casing and stabilizers of missiles and rockets. These provisions do not apply to the depainting of parts or units normally removed from the aerospace vehicle for depainting. However, depainting of wings and stabilizers is always subject to the requirements of this section regardless of whether their removal is considered by the owner or operator to be normal practice for depainting.
- (2) Aerospace vehicles or components that are intended for public display, no longer operational, and not easily capable of being moved are exempt from the requirements of this section.
- (3) The following depainting operations are exempt from the requirements of this section:
 - (i) Depainting of radomes; and
- (ii) Depainting of parts, subassemblies, and assemblies normally removed from the primary aircraft structure before depainting.
- (b)(1) HAP emissions—non-HAP chemical strippers and technologies. Except as

provided in paragraph (b)(3) of this section, each owner or operator of a new or existing aerospace depainting operation subject to this subpart shall emit no organic HAP from chemical stripping formulations and agents or chemical paint softeners.

- (2) Where non-chemical based equipment is used to comply with paragraph (b)(1) of this section, either in total or in part, each owner or operator shall operate and maintain the equipment according to the manufacturer's specifications or locally prepared operating procedures. During periods of malfunctions of such equipment, each owner or operator may use substitute materials during the repair period provided the substitute materials used are those available that minimize organic HAP emissions. In no event shall substitute materials be used for more than 15 days annually, unless such materials are organic HAP-free.
- (3) Each owner or operator of a new or existing depainting operation complying with paragraph (b)(1) shall not, on an annual average basis, use more than 26 gallons of organic HAP-containing chemical strippers per commercial aircraft depainted or more than 50 gallons of organic HAP-containing chemical strippers per military aircraft depainted for spot stripping and decal removal.
- (4) Each owner or operator of a new or existing depainting operation complying with paragraph (b)(2), that generates airborne inorganic HAP emissions from dry media blasting equipment, shall also comply with the requirements specified in paragraphs (b)(4)(i) through (b)(4)(v) of this section
- (i) Perform the depainting operation in an enclosed area
- (ii) Pass any air stream removed from the enclosed area through a dry particulate filter system, baghouse, or waterwash system before exhausting it to the atmosphere.
- (iii) If a dry particulate filter system is used, the following requirements shall be met:
- (A) Maintain the system in good working order;
- (B) Install a differential pressure gauge across the filter banks;

- (C) Continuously monitor the pressure drop across the filter; and
- (D) Take corrective action when the pressure drop exceeds or falls below the filter manufacturer's recommended limits
- (iv) If a waterwash system is used, continuously monitor the water flow rate.
- (v) If the pressure drop, as recorded pursuant to §63.752(e)(7), is outside the limit(s) specified by the filter manufacturer or in locally prepared operating procedures, whichever is more stringent, shut down the operation immediately and take corrective action. If the water path in the waterwash system fails the visual continuity/flow characteristics check as recorded pursuant to §63.752(e)(7), or the water flow as recorded pursuant rate. §63.752(d)(2), exceeds the limit(s) specified by the booth manufacturer or in locally prepared operating procedures, or the booth manufacturer's or locally prepared maintenance procedures for the filter or waterwash system have not been performed as scheduled, shut down the operation immediately and take corrective action. The operation shall not be resumed until the pressure drop or water flow rate is returned within the specified limit(s).
- (5) Mechanical and hand sanding operations are exempt from the requirements in paragraph (b)(4) of this section.
- (c) Organic HAP emissions—organic HAP-containing chemical strippers. Each owner or operator of a new or existing organic HAP-containing chemical stripper depainting operation subject to this subpart shall comply with the requirements specified in this paragraph.
- (1) All organic HAP emissions from the operation shall be reduced by the use of a control system. Each control system that was installed before the effective date shall reduce the operations' organic HAP emissions to the atmosphere by 81% or greater, taking into account capture and destruction or removal efficiencies, as determined using the procedures in §63.750(g) when a carbon adsorber is used or §63.750(h) when a control device other than a carbon adsorber is used. Each control system installed on or after the effective

§ 63.747

date shall reduce organic HAP emissions to the atmosphere by 95% or greater. Reduction shall take into account capture and destruction or removal efficiencies, and the volume of chemical stripper used (e.g., the 95% efficiency may be achieved by controlling emissions at 81% efficiency with a control system and using 74% less stripper than in baseline applications). The baseline shall be calculated using data from 1996 and 1997, which shall be on a usage per aircraft or usage per square foot of surface basis.

(2) [Reserved]

§63.747 Standards: Chemical milling maskant application operations.

- (a) Each owner or operator of a new or existing chemical milling maskant operation subject to this subpart shall comply with the requirements specified in paragraph (c) of this section for those chemical milling maskants that are uncontrolled (no control device is used to reduce organic HAP emissions from the operation) and in paragraph (d) of this section for those chemical milling maskants that are controlled (organic HAP emissions from the operation are reduced by the use of a control device).
- (b) Each owner or operator shall conduct the handling and transfer of chemical milling maskants to or from containers, tanks, vats, vessels, and piping systems in such a manner that minimizes spills.
- (c) Uncontrolled maskants—organic HAP and VOC content levels. Each owner or operator shall comply with the organic HAP and VOC content limits specified in paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this section for each chemical milling maskant that is uncontrolled.
- (1) Organic HAP emissions from chemical milling maskants shall be limited to an organic HAP content level of no more than 160 grams of organic HAP per liter (1.3 lb/gal) of chemical milling maskant (less water) as applied.
- (2) VOC emissions from chemical milling maskants shall be limited to a VOC content level of no more than 160 grams of VOC per liter (1.3 lb/gal) of chemical milling maskant (less water and exempt solvents) as applied.

- (3) The requirements of paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this section do not apply to the following:
- (i) Touch-up of scratched surfaces or damaged maskant; and
 - (ii) Touch-up of trimmed edges.
- (d) Controlled maskants—control system requirements. Each control system shall reduce the operation's organic HAP and VOC emissions to the atmosphere by 81% or greater, taking into account capture and destruction or removal efficiencies, as determined using the procedures in §63.750(g) when a carbon adsorber is used and in §63.750(h) when a control device other than a carbon adsorber is used.
- (e) Compliance methods. Compliance with the organic HAP and VOC content limits specified in paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this section may be accomplished by using the methods specified in paragraphs (e)(1) and (e)(2) of this section either by themselves or in conjunction with one another.
- (1) Use chemical milling maskants with HAP and VOC content levels equal to or less than the limits specified in paragraphs (c)(1) and (c)(2) of this section.
- (2)(i) Use any combination of chemical milling maskants such that the monthly volume-weighted average organic HAP and VOC contents of the maskants comply with the specified content limits, unless the permitting agency specifies a shorter averaging period as part of an ambient ozone control program
- (ii) Averaging is allowed only for uncontrolled chemical milling maskants.
- (iii) Each averaging scheme shall be approved in advance by the permitting agency and be adopted as part of the facility's title V permit.

§63.748 Standards: Handling and storage of waste.

Except as provided in §63.741(e), the owner or operator of each facility subject to this subpart that produces a waste that contains HAP shall conduct the handling and transfer of the waste to or from containers, tanks, vats, vessels, and piping systems in such a manner that minimizes spills.